



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,338	11/03/2003	Lewis K. Cirne	WILY-01013US0	5180
28554	7590	03/09/2012		
Vierra Magen Marcus & DeNiro LLP 575 Market Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94105			EXAMINER	
			WEI, ZHENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2012	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/700,338	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CIRNE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ZHENG WEI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ZHENG WEI (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Ronald M. Pomerence (Reg#: 43,009) (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 March 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5, and 47.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

(1) Examiner indicated that some claims, e.g. claims 39, 47, and claims 5, 17, 23, 37, 42 are either in the condition of allowance or have allowable subject matters:

(2) Examiner proposed to incorporate the limitations in dependent claims, e.g. claims 5, 17, 23, 37, 42 into their independent claims, respectively, and put the application in the condition of allowance.

(3) Applicant was not able to make decision by the phone and prefer to receive next office action for further consideration:

(4) A Final office action will be sent out accordingly.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Z. W./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2192